Behavior of the current in the asymmetric quantum multibaker map
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I. INTRODUCTION

There is much interest in the study of directed transport in unbiased periodic systems. This phenomenon, also referred to as the ratchet effect, was initially considered by Feynman [1]. It can be classically ascribed to breaking all spatiotemporal symmetries leading to momentum inversion [2]. This allows a net current generation. For example, in non-Hamiltonian systems chaotic attractors need to be asymmetric [3] whereas in Hamiltonian ones (with mixed phase spaces) a chaotic layer should have this property [4]. Many times the same principle translates almost directly into the quantum domain, but in other cases more complex behaviors arise [5].

Since the first studies the relevance of this subject has been steadily growing and several fundamental questions about the origin and properties of the net current have been answered [6]. However, the considerable amount of possible applications has opened a very broad field of research. In fact, a great and increasing number of experiments implement different kinds of ratchets. In biology, molecular motor principles can be understood on these grounds [7]. Also, they can be useful in the development of nanodevices such as rectifiers, pumps, particle separators, molecular switches, and transistors [8]. Cold atoms and Bose-Einstein condensates have emerged as a very active area of application of these ideas, and the first experiments have initiated an activity that continues until present [9]. These efforts have led to the very recent success in transporting Bose-Einstein condensates for particular initial conditions by relying on purely quantum ratchet accelerator mechanisms [10]. Such experiments essentially involve the atom optics kicked rotor [11] at quantum resonance. In this system, the current has no classical analog and can be generated by just breaking the spatial symmetry [12]. Although the experimental realization of some proposed models is still needed and the theoretical explanations are still not complete, ongoing studies show several new proposals [13]. These include ways of coherently controlling the ballistic energy growth of the atoms [14].

In order to investigate the mechanisms leading to net transport generation in quantum systems we have recently introduced an asymmetric version of the quantum multibaker map that shows a finite asymptotic current with no classical counterpart [15]. This is a paradigmatic model in classical and quantum chaos, but also in statistical mechanics [16,17]. In this work we study the properties of the directed current in depth. We provide a characterization of its behavior as a function of the $h$ value, the initial conditions, and the spectrum features. All these have been considered for different values of the main parameter which determines the degree of spatial asymmetry. With these results at hand we proceeded to study the classical and quantum versions of the phase-space distributions for short times. This will contribute to the knowledge of the mechanism by which the current appears in the quantum version. We finally make a comparison to the behavior of the system for longer evolutions of the order of the Heisenberg time.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Sec. II we present our model in detail and the methods we have used to study it. We have chosen to divide this section in four parts. First, we formulate the classical and quantum propagators, then we explain some properties of the quantum version that are useful for the time evolution. Also, we present an asymptotic expression for the coarse-grained current, which is the main quantity under investigation. Finally the symmetry properties are explained. In Sec. III we analyze the current behavior as a function of $h$, the initial conditions, and the spectrum shape. In Sec. IV we show the connection between the symmetries and the current generation by focusing on the classical and quantum phase-space distributions for short times. We determine how the degree of asymmetry influences the features of the system studied in the previous section. Finally, Sec. V is dedicated to the conclusions.

II. MODEL AND METHODS

A. Classical and quantum propagators

The classical multibaker map [16] is defined in a phase space consisting of a lattice of unit square cells in position direction and confined in momentum ($p \in [0,1)$). A phase-space point can be completely defined by the number $x(x \in \mathbb{Z})$ of the cell to which it belongs and the position and momentum inside of it ($q,p \in [0,1)$). The action of the map
Lyapunov exponents by the unbiased projectors dependence on the position inside of each cell is now given. The time evolution of the asymmetric multibaker map will be similar to the classical one. The asymmetric quantum multibaker map is the asymmetric baker map in the internal evolution inside of each cell and that there are two different Lyapunov exponents $\lambda_1=-\ln(s)$, $\lambda_2=-\ln(1-s)$. On the other hand, the asymmetric quantum baker’s map with anti-periodic boundary conditions, i.e., the corresponding generalization of the quantum symmetric one [19,20]. In this case only the values of $s$ such that $D_1=sD$ and $D_2=D-D_1$ are positive integer numbers are allowed.

B. Time evolution

The time evolution of an initial state can be computed straightforwardly in both classical and quantum cases in terms of the propagators given in Eqs. (1) and (4), respectively. The behavior of an initially localized distribution of particles is a common interest in directed transport studies. For that reason, we will focus on initial states which are located in a single site of the lattice. In the classical case the initial state will be a uniform probability distribution with the shape of a momentum band of width $\delta p$ and extending completely along the $q$ coordinate of the initial cell.

Correspondingly, in the quantum case we will always start with separable initial states of the form $\rho_0=s_0\otimes\rho_0$ where $s_0$ is the initial state in the lattice space, given by a single position basis element. On the other hand, $\rho_0$ is a mixed superposition of $\Delta p$ momentum eigenstates of the individual cell subspace. This kind of initial state is the quantum analog of the previously described classical one, therefore we will take $\Delta p_0=\delta p_0$ to be able to compare them.

The quantum state at time $t$, $\rho(t)$, is the result of the discrete time propagation of the initial state given by

$$\rho(t)=(\hat{M}_t)^{\dagger}\rho_0(\hat{M}_t)^{\dagger}.$$  

This expression can be simplified noting that in $\hat{M}_t$ the translation operator $\hat{U}$ becomes diagonal in the momentum basis of the lattice subspace $|k\rangle$,

$$\hat{U}|k\rangle=e^{-i\hat{p}|k\rangle},$$

where by the previous definition

$$|k\rangle=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}|x\rangle e^{i\lambda x}.$$  

With this property the action of the AQMBM of Eq. (4) can be written more easily on a given state of our system. If we form the projection on the right and left halves of the position basis inside of each cell, satisfying $\hat{P}_R+\hat{P}_L=I$ and $\text{Tr}(\hat{P}_R)=\text{Tr}(\hat{P}_L)=D/2$. Therefore, the AQMBM can be written as

$$\hat{M}_t=\hat{T}_{s}\hat{B}_s=(\hat{U} \otimes \hat{P}_R + \hat{U}^\dagger \otimes \hat{P}_L)(\hat{I} \otimes \hat{B}_s),$$

where $\hat{U}$ is a unitary translation operator acting on the lattice subspace $\hat{U}|x\rangle=|x+1\rangle$ (with $\{|x\rangle,x=\ldots,-2,-1,0,1,2,\ldots\}$ taken as the position basis set of the lattice). $\hat{B}_s$ is

$$\hat{B}_s=\hat{G}_D^{q_1}(\hat{G}_{D_2}^{q_2})^\dagger.$$

This is the asymmetric quantum baker’s map with antiperiodic boundary conditions, i.e., the corresponding generalization of the quantum symmetric one [19,20]. In this case only the values of $s$ such that $D_1=sD$ and $D_2=D-D_1$ are positive integer numbers are allowed.

FIG. 1. Geometric action of the asymmetric multibaker map. One iteration of the map corresponds to a composition of an internal evolution (given by the asymmetric baker map) and a translation among adjacent cells (which depends on the position inside of them).
define $\hat{B}_{s,k}$ as an operator acting on individual cell states $|\Psi_B\rangle$ and being parametrized by the lattice momentum value $k$, $\hat{M}_i$ can be rewritten as

$$\hat{M}_i(k) \otimes |\Psi_B\rangle = |k\rangle \otimes \hat{B}_{s,k} |\Psi_B\rangle,$$

where by definition

$$\hat{B}_{s,k} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-ik} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{ik} \end{pmatrix} \hat{B}_s.$$

Then, the quantum asymptotic time evolution turns into the study of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of this last operator, as we will see in the following.

C. Coarse-grained current

For a given ensemble of classical initial conditions, we define $p_{\text{class}}(x,t)$ as the probability of the particle to be in the $x$ lattice cell at time $t$. In this way we can compute the mean value of the coarse-grained position as $\langle x \rangle = \sum_x p_{\text{class}}(x,t)$ (which is the average value of the cell position $x$). Then, the coarse-grained current is calculated as the difference between this mean value at time $t$ and the same value taken at an earlier time $t-1$. The current $J_{\text{class}} = \langle x(t) \rangle - \langle x(t-1) \rangle$ can be derived from the first moment of the classical distribution, but higher moments can be also calculated in this way, i.e., disregarding the fluctuations that take place inside each cell.

For the quantum evolution we first consider the probability distribution of the particle to be in the $x$ lattice cell after $t$ iterations of the map. This is given by

$$p(x,t) = \text{Tr}[\rho(t) |\Psi_x\rangle \langle \Psi_x |].$$

In particular, for an initial state localized in one site (i.e., for which we take $\rho_0 = |0\rangle \langle 0 |$) and in the lattice momentum representation, the previous expression becomes

$$p(x,t) = \int \frac{dk d_{k'}}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-i(x-k')} \text{Tr}[(\hat{B}_{s,k'})^\dagger \rho_0(\hat{B}_{s,k})^\dagger].$$

The coarse-grained position is obtained by tracing out each cell’s internal degrees of freedom ($q$). The moments of this quantity can now be easily calculated using the probability distribution $p(x,t)$,

$$\langle x^m \rangle = \sum_x x^m p(x,t).$$

Finally, in complete analogy to the classical definition we will take the quantum coarse-grained current to be

$$J(t) = \langle \dot{x} \rangle_t = \langle x \rangle_{t+1} - \langle x \rangle_t.$$

Following closely Brun et al. [21], we insert the identity

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_x x^m e^{-i(x-k')^2} = \delta^m(k-k')$$

into Eq. (14) and integrating by parts we obtain

$$\langle x^m \rangle_t = \frac{im}{2\pi} \int dk \text{Tr} \left[ \rho_0(\hat{B}_{s,k'})^\dagger \frac{d^m}{dk^m} (\hat{B}_{s,k})^\dagger \right].$$

Therefore the first moment can be written as

$$\langle x \rangle_t = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int dk \text{Tr} \left[ \rho_0(\hat{B}_{s,k'})^\dagger \frac{d}{dk} (\hat{B}_{s,k})^\dagger \right].$$

where

$$\frac{d\hat{B}_{s,k}}{dk} = (-i e^{-ik} \hat{P}_R + i e^{ik} \hat{P}_L) \hat{B}_s = -i\hat{Z} \hat{B}_{s,k}$$

and

$$\hat{Z} = \hat{P}_R - \hat{P}_L.$$

Substituting this into Eq. (18), the coarse-grained position mean value becomes

$$\langle x \rangle_t = \sum_{j=1}^t \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \text{Tr} [\rho_0(\hat{B}_{s,k'})^\dagger \hat{Z}(\hat{B}_{s,k})^\dagger].$$

A similar procedure could be followed to obtain higher moments.

The time dependence in Eq. (20) can be made explicit by considering the spectral properties of the map $\hat{B}_{s,k}$,

$$\hat{B}_{s,k} |\phi_i(k)\rangle = \exp[i\theta_i(k)] |\phi_i(k)\rangle.$$

In this basis the initial cell distribution is

$$\rho_0 = \sum_{j'=0}^{n'} a_{ij'}(k) |\phi_i(k)\rangle \langle \phi_{j'}(k) |.$$

Substituting this into Eq. (20) for the first moment we obtain

$$\langle x \rangle_t = \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \sum_{ij'} a_{ij'}(k) \langle \phi_i(k) | \hat{Z} | \phi_{j'}(k) \rangle \sum_{j=1}^t e^{i[\theta_i(k) - \theta_{j'}(k)]}.$$

No approximations have been made in this derivation. If the spectrum has no degeneracies, as will be the case for chaotic maps, most of the terms in Eq. (23) will be highly oscillatory; hence, over time, they will average to zero. Only the diagonal terms in the above sum are nonoscillatory, allowing us to write

$$\langle x \rangle_t = J_\omega t + \text{oscillatory terms},$$

where

$$J_\omega = \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \sum_{ij} a_{ij}(k) Z_{ij}(k),$$

$$Z_{ij}(k) = \langle \phi_i(k) | \hat{Z} | \phi_j(k) \rangle.$$

In these expressions, $J_\omega$ is the asymptotic value of the coarse-grained current defined in Eq. (15). The quantity $a_{ij}(k)$ corresponds to the projection of the initial state in the basis of eigenstates as previously stated and $Z_{ij}(k)$ is a kind of right-left balance of each eigenstate.

This completes the description of the methods used to study our system. In the following section we will explain some symmetry considerations that are relevant for the directed transport mechanism.
TABLE I. Presence (y) or absence (n) of symmetries ($S_I$ and $S_H$) and net classical ($J_{\text{class}}$) and quantum ($J$) currents for different values of $s$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$s$</th>
<th>$S_I$</th>
<th>$S_H$</th>
<th>$J_{\text{class}}$</th>
<th>$J$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s \neq 0.5$</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. Symmetry properties

By looking at Fig. 1 the first thing that can be seen is that though the baker map we consider is asymmetric, the transport term is unbiased. The transport is only due to this transformation, which maps the same volume of phase space to the right and left. In the quantum version this also means that there is no tunneling effect from cell to cell. It has been shown that the presence of the net classical transport is originated from breaking all spatiotemporal symmetries that leave the system unchanged but change the sign of the (coarse-grained) current [2]. There are two transformations that fulfill these conditions. Let us consider first

$$S_I: q \rightarrow 1 - q; \quad p \rightarrow 1 - p$$

acting on each cell and leaving the transport term $T$ unchanged. Under the action of $S_I$, the $q$ and $p$ coordinates are reflected at their midpoints of each cell and the map $B_s$ transforms to $B_{1-s}$ (we highlight that this is valid in the classical and in the quantum cases). This also changes the sign of the coarse-grained current, since a given trajectory that is transported to the left (right) at each iteration is now transported to the right (left). For $s = 1/2$, i.e., the symmetrical Baker map, this transformation is a symmetry of the system and therefore there is no classical current. Moreover, there is no quantum current either, indicating that from the directed transport point of view, the $S_I$ transformation has the same properties in the quantum and in the classical case. For other values of $s$ this symmetry is broken, but this is not enough to allow the presence of a net current.

In fact, the other transformation is

$$S_H: q \rightarrow p; \quad p \rightarrow q; \quad T \rightarrow T^{-1}; \quad t \rightarrow -t,$$

where the $q$ and $p$ parts act on each cell. This is the time-reversal symmetry, present for any value of $s$. This transformation leaves the system unchanged, but reverses all trajectories and consequently changes the sign of the coarse-grained current. This forbids any classical current for unbiased initial conditions. In previous studies we have found transient effects for biased conditions but they disappear very rapidly due to the exponential mixing property of the Baker map. The $S_H$ transformation is also a symmetry of the quantum system. However, it turns out from our investigations that it does not forbid the presence of a net quantum current. Details of the quantum behavior will be analyzed in the following sections. We have summarized all these properties in Table I.

Finally we will refer to the symmetries of the quantum coarse-grained current, $J$ is an odd function of $s$ around $s = 0.5$, i.e., $\langle J_s \rangle = -\langle J_{1-s} \rangle$. In fact, if we apply the symmetry transformation $S_I$ to Eq. (7) and then trace out the internal degrees of freedom inside of each cell we obtain that $p_{\{x,t\}} = p_{1-x,t}$ for all $t$. This result is valid for any initial $\rho_B^p$ symmetrical under $S_I$.

III. QUANTUM CURRENT BEHAVIOR

In this section we analyze the most important aspects of the quantum directed current, providing a comprehensive understanding of its behavior. In the first place, we study the transition toward the classical limit that allows us to understand how the net transport vanishes. For that purpose we have numerically computed the asymptotic value of the coarse-grained quantum current $J_s$ by means of Eq. (25). This has been done for all possible values of the quantum asymmetry parameter $s = D_1/D$, taking only $s \geq 0.5$ due to the symmetry property explained in Sec. II. In order to have the same classical limit for all $h = 1/D$ values, we have taken equal probability mixtures of an (integer) number $\Delta p = D/10$ of central momentum eigenstates (i.e., a mixed state) as initial conditions. The results can be seen in Fig. 2, where the solid line corresponds to a dimension $D = 300$ for the Hilbert space of the cell and the dots correspond to all possible values of $D$ which are divisible by 10 between $D = 20$ and $D = 290$.

We can see that the currents corresponding to $D_1 = D - 1$ and $D_1 = D - 2$ are clearly different from the general behavior. We will come back to this particular feature later on when we analyze the spectrum. However, we note that there is a global convergence to the solid line, although the dependence on $s$ is rather nontrivial. In fact, the current behavior (with the exception of the last points for $D_1 = D - 1$ and $D_1 = D - 2$) can be divided into two parts. The first one corresponds to $s \leq 0.7$, where $J_s$ is already small for the maximum $D$ we have taken in our calculations. In this respect, the current seems to vanish much faster than in the $s \geq 0.7$ domain, in which higher values can be observed. It seems that the quantum effects are enhanced if one of the two parts in which the phase space is divided is clearly smaller than the
other. We have found a similar effect in our studies of the current dependence on the initial conditions, therefore we pay special attention to these cases in the last part of this section.

We have also focused on the behavior of the asymptotic coarse-grained current as a function of the width in $p$ of the initial mixed superposition of momentum eigenstates. The values of $J_n$ for a fixed dimension $D=100$, different $\Delta p$ and as a function of $s$, can be seen in Fig. 3. The current decreases with the width of the momentum band in the region of $s \leq 0.7$. Nevertheless, for $s \approx 0.7$ we can see that by enlarging the width of the initial distribution up to approximately a 60% of the maximum phase-space size in momentum, the fluctuations become smoother. However, it is remarkable that the current nearly vanishes in the same region where the convergence to the classical behavior is faster. For greater $\Delta p$ values the current decreases strongly, and for the uniform distribution there is no current.

Finally, in view of the relevance that the operator $\hat{B}_{s,k}$ has in the properties of $J_n$, we have studied some features of its spectrum for different values of $s$. We display the eigenphases $\theta$ (in units of $\pi$) as a function of $k$ in Fig. 4 for $D=100$. The spectrum for the case $D_1=15$, for which the symmetry $S_l$ is present, is invariant under reflections at $k=\pi$. This is due to the fact that $\hat{B}_{s,k}$ is invariant under $k \rightarrow 2\pi-k$ up to an even number of row permutations. The periodicity in $k$ also makes the spectrum symmetric from $k=0$. This symmetry is absent for all the other values of $s$. We have considered the less asymmetric case $D_1=16$ and an intermediate one with $D_1=26$, where this already becomes evident. Finally, for $D_1=29$ we can see a very regular spectrum, similar to those of integrable systems, that nevertheless shows level repulsion. In all cases, there is a symmetry given by the transformation $k \rightarrow k+\pi$, $\theta \rightarrow \theta+\pi$ since $\hat{B}_{s,k+\pi}=-\hat{B}_{s,k}$, and therefore any eigenstate of $\hat{B}_{s,k}$ with eigenvalue $\theta_{\pi}=\theta_{k+\pi}+\pi$.

We have analyzed the cumulative level spacing distribution of the AQMBM averaged in $k$,

$$I(\theta) = \frac{dk/(2\pi)}{\int_0^\theta d\theta' P(\theta')},$$

where $P(\theta)$ corresponds to the level spacing distribution. The results are shown in Fig. 5. The phase $\theta$ has been normalized by the mean level spacing $2\pi/D$. It becomes clear that the behavior of the case of the last panel in Fig. 4 ($D_1=29$) is completely different from the rest. In fact, it is very close to the Poisson distribution, which corresponds to integrable or regular systems. Level repulsion is also evident since for small $\theta$ values, the curve corresponding to the AQMBM lev-
els shows its main difference compared to the Poisson distribution. The other cases are very close to the Wigner–Dyson shape (CUE), corresponding to the typical behavior of chaotic systems. We can conclude that the quasiregular behavior of the most asymmetric cases, i.e., the one we show for \( D_1 = 29 \) and similarly the one for \( D_1 = 28 \) is highly anomalous. This is in close relation to the exceptional current values found for \( D_1 = D - 1 \) and \( D_1 = D - 2 \) in Fig. 2.

IV. CURRENT GENERATION

In order to understand the origin of the directed current, we have analyzed the classical and quantum phase-space distributions for given initial conditions as a function of time. We have studied them for short times and a Hilbert-space dimension \( D \leq 80 \), which is of the order of the Hilbert-space dimensions of the cells that we have used in the calculations of Sec. III. The choice of these evolution times and dimensions makes the phase-space representations clearer and illustrates how the differences between the quantum-classical distributions arise. Then, although the asymptotic limit \( J_\infty \) is still far from being reached, the mechanisms that give rise to the current can already be seen.

An initial distribution corresponding to a momentum centered strip of width \( \delta p = 0.1 \) and its quantum analogs have been evolved up to 3 time steps of the map. Results for \( s = 0.5 \) are displayed in Fig. 6, while the ones for \( s = 0.75 \) are shown in Fig. 7. In the top panels of both figures we can see the classical distribution corresponding to the cells at lattice positions \( x = -3, -1, 1, 3 \), given that for \( x = -2, 0, 2 \) they are empty (this is a result of the translation operator and the initial conditions choice). In the middle top (\( D = 80 \)) and bottom (\( D = 20 \)) panels we show the corresponding Husimi distributions, taking quantum initial conditions in the same way as in Sec. III. Finally, in the bottom panels we can find the probability distribution difference given by \( p(x,t) - p_{\text{class}}(x,t) \).

By comparing both figures we can immediately notice that the classical distribution \( p_{\text{class}}(x,t) \) for \( s = 0.5 \) keeps its initial symmetry. In both quantum cases the distributions preserve also this symmetry, but for \( s = 0.75 \) the situation changes. Here the classical probability is not symmetrical, but it is still balanced at the origin (a given distribution is balanced if \( \langle x \rangle = 0 \)). This asymmetry is also present in the quantum case, but the balance of the distribution is broken due to interference effects. In fact, if we look at the lower panel of Fig. 6 we can see that the quantum and classical distributions have almost equal weights in each cell (apart from quantum fluctuations). But the lower panel of Fig. 7 clearly shows that for the \( D = 20 \) case, the imbalance in the \( p(x,t) \) distribution is already present. For \( D = 80 \) we still have a close quantum-classical correspondence for this short evolution time. This shows the fundamental role that quantum effects play in the net current appearance. It is clear that at times of the order of the Ehrenfest time \( (t \sim \log D) \) the asymptotic current starts to build up. This imbalance evolves in time shaping the \( p(x,t) \) distribution. At the order of the Heisenberg time (which in this case corresponds to \( t \sim D \)) the asymptotic current is reached. We show the shape of \( p_{\text{class}}(x,t) \) and \( p(x,t) \) for the cases \( D = 20 \) and \( D = 80 \) in Fig. 8, where we have taken \( s = 0.75 \) and \( t = 80 \). This illustrates the behavior of the probability distribution at longer times.

In order to clarify this mechanism we will make some additional considerations. In the first place, we would like to underline that for \( s = 0.5 \) our system has both a spatial and a temporal symmetry (see Sec. II D and Table I). The spatial symmetry \( S_I \) enforces a symmetrical behavior of the classical
We should note that the asymptotic behavior from this short-time analysis. Since there are no specific squeezing of the classical distributions other hand, the diffraction can also be seen in the impossibility in the same way as in the quantum walks the different paths of the lattice affects the quantum distribution and imbalances arise as a natural consequence. In fact, in this generic situation there is no spatial symmetry of the system enforcing symmetrical phase-space distributions. The time-reversal symmetry $S_T$ holds in both the classical and the quantum systems. However, this symmetry does not enforce a balanced phase-space distribution in the quantum case like it does in the classical one. In the quantum system, interference and diffraction effects within cells destroy the balance and induce a net directed current.

We have also studied several features of this phenomenon, in particular the dependence on the asymmetry parameter and the value of $h$. We noticed a clear marked dependence of the $J_x$ behavior on the values of $s$. In fact we observe a faster vanishing of the transport for $s<0.7$ both as $h \to 0$ and as the width of the initial conditions $\delta p \to 1$. We have found that for higher values of $s$ the spectrum behavior approaches that of an integrable system (nevertheless with notable discrepancies, especially for small level spacings since no degeneracies are present).

We would like to mention that the mechanisms behind the current generation in our system are different from those previously studied in quantum ratchet accelerators [10]. In fact, kicked rotor based ratchets require a quantum resonance condition to be present. But differences are not limited to this. There is also a ballistic energy growth that is absent in our case. In order to control its magnitude it has been proposed to engineer the amplitude and relative phase of an initial coherent superposition of momentum states [14].
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